



Report of the Chair

Scrutiny Programme Committee – 18 May 2021

Scrutiny Letters

| | |
|--|--|
| Purpose: | To ensure the Committee is aware of the scrutiny letters produced following various scrutiny activities, and to track responses to date. |
| Content: | The report includes a log of scrutiny letters produced this year, and provides a copy of correspondence between scrutiny and cabinet members where discussion is required. |
| Councillors are being asked to: | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review the scrutiny letters and responses• Make comments, observations and recommendations as necessary |
| Lead Councillor: | Councillor Peter Black, Chair of the Scrutiny Programme Committee |
| Lead Officer: | Tracey Meredith, Chief Legal Officer |
| Report Author: | Brij Madahar, Scrutiny Team Leader Tel: 01792 637257 E-mail: brij.madahar@swansea.gov.uk |
| Legal Officer: | Debbie Smith |
| Finance Officer: | Paul Cridland |

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The production of scrutiny letters has become an established part of the way scrutiny operates in Swansea. Letters from the chair (or conveners) allow scrutiny to communicate directly and quickly with relevant cabinet members.
- 1.2 These letters are used to convey views and conclusions about particular issues discussed and provide the opportunity to raise concerns, ask for further information, and make recommendations. This enables scrutiny to engage with Cabinet Members on a regular and structured basis.

2. Reporting of Letters

- 2.1 All scrutiny letters, whether they are written by the Scrutiny Programme Committee or conveners of Panels / Working Groups, are published to ensure visibility, of the outcomes from meetings, across the council and public.
- 2.2 The Scrutiny Programme Committee agenda also includes a copy of letters to/from Cabinet Members where specific discussion is required, e.g. letters relating to the Committee, Working Groups, and Inquiry Panel follow ups. Letters are included when cabinet member responses that were awaited are received or where a scrutiny letter did not require a response.
- 2.3 Where requested Cabinet Members are expected to respond in writing to scrutiny letters within 21 calendar days. The response should indicate what action (if any) they intend to take as a result of the views and recommendations made.
- 2.4 Letters relating to the work of Performance Panels are part of an ongoing dialogue with Cabinet Members and are therefore reported back and monitored by each Panel. However all Performance Panel conveners will provide a progress report to the Committee, including summary of correspondence with Cabinet Members and outcomes.

3. Letters Log

- 3.1 This report contains a log of scrutiny letters produced to enable the committee to maintain an overview of letters activity over the year – see **Appendix 1**. The letters log also shows the average time taken by Cabinet Members to respond to scrutiny letters, and the percentage of letters responded to within timescale.
- 3.2 The following letter(s), not already reported to the Committee, are **attached** for discussion:

| | Activity | Meeting Date | Correspondence |
|---|---|---------------------|---|
| a | Committee – Active Travel Consultation Process | 16 Feb | Letter to / from Cabinet Member for Environment & Infrastructure Management |
| b | Committee – Children & Young People’s Rights Scheme | 16 Mar | Letter to / from Cabinet Member for Children Services |
| c | Committee – Homelessness Strategy | 13 Apr | Letter to Cabinet Member for Homes, Energy & Service Transformation |

4. Legal Implications

4.1 There are no legal implications.

5. Financial Implications

5.1 There are no financial implications.

Background Papers: None

Appendices:

Appendix 1: Scrutiny Letters Log

Appendix 2: Correspondence between Scrutiny and Cabinet Members